

ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Edwin Kluth
<ADDRESS1>1 Aster Dr.
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Triadelphia
<STATE>WV
<ZIP>26059
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>ev-rckluth
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge
you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how
contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am
concerned that this proposal could make my current service
unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make
few long distance calls would pay the same as people or
businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and
primarily residential customers would bear the same universal
service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business
customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I
don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay
less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to
move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Actually, these "add on" fees/non-legislated taxes on phone
service are getting raised all too often and are getting
excessive. These fees need to be eliminated or at least lowered.

Sincerely,

Edwin Kluth
1 Aster Dr.
Triadelphia, West Virginia 26059